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Overview

- Background: the push toward paper-based voting
- Issues in processing scanned ballots
- Opportunities for document analysis research
- Overview of our ongoing work*
- Summary

* Ideas and a prototype system, but no experimental results yet.
E-voting in the news

SECURITY ALERT: July 4, 2005
Critical Security Issues with Diebold Optical Scan Design

Pennsylvania voters: trust but verify
Poll finds most want ballot verification

Electronic Voting System Usability Issues

SECURITY ALERT: May 11, 2006
Critical Security Issues with Diebold TSx

Privacy Issues in an Electronic Voting Machine

Hacking Democracy
An HBO Documentary Film
9 pm Thursday

“...we don’t live in a democracy.”

HBO Documentary Films presents Hacking Democracy, Thursday at 9 pm.

Analysis of an Electronic Voting System

Trusted Agent Report
Diebold AccuVote-TS Voting System
What are the problems?

Recent transition to e-voting in U.S. has been rocky at best:

- Well-publicized “attacks” by computer security researchers who have obtained examples of such systems.
- Votes lost in real elections due to software / hardware failures ...
- ... and due to under-trained workers, bad user interface designs.

No matter the vendor, one truth holds:
all computer systems of this complexity have bugs.

Situation exacerbated by:

- Closed (proprietary) systems, no independent audit trail.
- Result is loss of voter trust, lawsuits, flurry of new legislation.
Voting system use in the U.S.

How did we get where we are?

The infamous butterfly ballot from the 2000 U.S. Presidential election:

The Florida ballot is a classic example of bad user interface design. (Computer software can suffer from such problems just as easily.)
Hanging chads & voter intent

Votomatic technology used in Florida was prone to paper jams. This led to hanging and dimpled chads, making it hard to determine voter intent, which provides the legal standard.

http://www.cs.uiowa.edu/~jones/cards/chad.html
http://www.pushback.com/justice/votefraud/DimpledChadPictures.html
Counting votes may not be easy

Is this a legal vote?

- Courts would probably say so ...
- ... but op-scan readers might not count it.

Increasing demands that machine's interpretation match a human's.
Evaluating election technologies

Some general system-level goals for trustworthy elections:

• Need accurate determination of voter intent.
• Must preserve voter anonymity.
• Accessibility for disabled voters and non-native speakers.
• If possible, prevent overvoting (invalidates voter's ballot).
• If possible, prevent unintentional undervoting (voter confusion?).
• Easy to administer, even by under-trained poll workers.
• Transparently fair.
Lingering concerns about paper

Draft report on Voluntary Voting Systems Guidelines by the Security and Transparency Subcommittee for the Technical Guidelines Development Committee of the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST):

“The widespread adoption of voting systems incorporating paper did not seem to cause any widespread problems in the November 2006 elections. But, the use of paper in elections places more stress on (1) the capabilities of voting system technology, (2) of voters to verify their accuracy, and (3) of election workers to securely handle the ballots and accurately count them. Clearly, the needs of voters and election officials need to be addressed with improved and new technology. The STS believes that current paper-based approaches can be improved to be significantly more usable to voters and election officials ...”

Research questions

Issues that arise from using paper ballots in elections:

- Accurate interpretation of marginal markings.
- Human cost, error rate, and bias in performing manual recounts.
- Failure modes in ballot imaging (e.g., paper jams).
- Systematic errors due to ballot layout (one candidate may be disadvantaged over another based on physical location on page).

Also keep in mind:

- U.S. Elections can be complex (10's to 100's of choices).
- Impact of “voter error” (e.g., improper markings, erasures).
- Potential for traditional ballot-box stuffing.
- Computer hackers attempting to manipulate the vote.
Connection to forms processing

Similarities to forms processing, but also some key differences:

• Much broader range of users (education level, literacy, etc.) than for traditional forms applications.
• Ballots must preserve a voter’s anonymity.
• Demand to count votes and report results quickly.
• Elections are held infrequently, so voting equipment sits unused for long periods in storage.
• Poll workers often lack technical expertise.
• Maintaining chain-of-custody is a critical security requirement.
• No financial interest in making sure votes are counted accurately, but there is tremendous public interest.
BallotToolkit

Software components written in Tcl/Tk and runnable under both MS Windows and Linux. GUI logs user interactions (all events time-stamped) to facilitate user studies. Data interchange via XML-like file formats.

Provides support for:

- Ballot specification (locating targets, defining races and elections).
- Ballot ground-truthing (human interpretation of ballot markings).
- Synthesizing collections of marked ballots.
- Investigating “blind” auditing to eliminate human bias.
- Investigating homogeneous class display to facilitate recounts.
BallotTool software

Ballot Collection (synthesized or scanned)

Mark Recognition

BallotTool Software

Specification

Ground-truth

Blind Auditing experiments

HCD experiments

Ballot Collection (synthesized or scanned)

Blank Ballot

BallotTool Software
BallotTool GUI

- BallotTool GUI image
  - Lehigh University / Muhlenberg College
  - 2008 Presidential Election
  - NATIONAL SURVEY
  - Please choose only one answer for each question. Fill in the oval for the answer that you select for each question.
  - In determining your vote for president in the 2008 election please indicate how important the following issues will be:
    - Very Important
    - Somewhat Important
    - Not Too Important
    - Not At All Important
  - The Economy
  - Terrorism
  - Education
  - Health Care
  - Crime
  - The War in Iraq
  - Global Warming
  - Please identify your views on the following candidates for president:
    - Very Favorable
    - Somewhat Favorable
    - Not Too Favorable
    - Not At All Favorable
    - John McCain
    - Hillary Clinton
    - Barack Obama
    - Mitt Romney
    - Mike Huckabee
  - If the 2008 presidential election was being held today and the candidates were Democrats Hillary Clinton and Republicans John McCain, who would you vote for?
    - Hillary Clinton
    - John McCain
  - If the 2008 presidential election was being held today and the candidates were Democrats Barack Obama and Republican John McCain, who would you vote for?
    - Barack Obama
    - John McCain
  - Election: Lehigh-Muhlenberg
  - Vote For: 
  - Bounding Box: x1: 10, y1: 10, x2: 1542, y2: 1290
  - Race: The War in Iraq
  - Vote For: 
  - Bounding Box: x1: 1550, y1: 7650, x2: 860, y2: 1160
  - Candidates: Very Important
  - Bounding Box: x1: 1830, y1: 1060, x2: 1160, y2: 1290
  - Target Bounding Box: x1: 960, y1: 1150, x2: 910, y2: 1105
  - Candidates: Somewhat Important
  - Bounding Box: x1: 1130, y1: 1560, x2: 1360, y2: 1920
  - Target Bounding Box: x1: 1200, y1: 1050, x2: 1230, y2: 1105
  - Candidates: Not Too Important
  - Bounding Box: x1: 1430, y1: 1560, x2: 1160, y2: 1920
  - Target Bounding Box: x1: 1160, y1: 1050, x2: 1500, y2: 1105
  - Candidates: Not At All Important
  - Bounding Box: x1: 1730, y1: 1560, x2: 1360, y2: 1920
  - Target Bounding Box: x1: 1460, y1: 1050, x2: 1350, y2: 1105
  - Vote For: 
  - Bounding Box: x1: 1550, y1: 7650, x2: 860, y2: 1160
  - Race: Global Warming
  - Vote For: 
  - Bounding Box: x1: 1550, y1: 1110, x2: 860, y2: 1160
  - Candidates: Very Important
  - Bounding Box: x1: 830, y1: 1060, x2: 1160, y2: 1290
  - Target Bounding Box: x1: 960, y1: 1770, x2: 910, y2: 1105
  - Candidates: Somewhat Important
  - Bounding Box: x1: 1130, y1: 1560, x2: 1360, y2: 1920
  - Target Bounding Box: x1: 1200, y1: 1050, x2: 1230, y2: 1105
  - Candidates: Not Too Important
  - Bounding Box: x1: 1430, y1: 1560, x2: 1160, y2: 1920
  - Target Bounding Box: x1: 1160, y1: 1050, x2: 1500, y2: 1105
  - Candidates: Not At All Important
  - Bounding Box: x1: 1730, y1: 1560, x2: 1360, y2: 1920
  - Target Bounding Box: x1: 1460, y1: 1050, x2: 1350, y2: 1105
File format for specifying ballots

Blank ballot

Lehigh University / Muhlenberg College  
2007 Presidential Election  
NATIONAL SURVEY

Please choose only one answer for each question. Fill in the oval for the answer that you select for each question.

In determining your vote for president in the 2008 election please indicate how important the following issues will be:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Very Important</th>
<th>Somewhat Important</th>
<th>Not Too Important</th>
<th>Not At All Important</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The War in Iraq</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Warming</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Economy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terrorism</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Care</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crime</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please identify your views on the following candidates for president:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>Very Favorable</th>
<th>Somewhat Favorable</th>
<th>Not Too Favorable</th>
<th>Not At All Favorable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rudy Giuliani</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hillary Clinton</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barack Obama</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitt Romney</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John McCain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If the 2008 presidential election was being held today and the candidates were Democrat Hillary Clinton and Republican Rudy Giuliani, who would you vote for?

Hillary Clinton
Rudy Giuliani

If the 2008 presidential election was being held today and the candidates were Democrat Barack Obama and Republican Rudy Giuliani, who would you vote for?

Barack Obama
Rudy Giuliani

Associated specification

<election ID="election001" Election="Lehigh-Muhlenberg Survey"
  bb_x1="10" bb_y1="10" bb_x2="2542" bb_y2="3290">
  <race ID="race001" Race="The War in Iraq" VoteFor="1"
    bb_x1="350" bb_y1="1050" bb_x2="800" bb_y2="1105">
    <candidate ID="cand001" Candidate="Very Important"
      bb_l_y1="830" bb_l_y2="890" bb_l_x2="1060" bbl_y1="1020"
      bbt_l_y1="900" bbl_y2="1050" bbt_l_x2="990" bbt_y2="1105">
    </candidate>
    <candidate ID="cand002" Candidate="Somewhat Important"
      bb_l_y1="1130" bb_l_y2="890" bb_l_x2="1360" bbl_y1="1020"
      bbt_l_y1="1200" bbt_y1="1150" bbt_x2="1290" bbt_y2="1105">
    </candidate>
    <candidate ID="cand003" Candidate="Not Too Important"
      bb_l_y1="1430" bb_l_y2="890" bb_l_x2="1660" bbl_y1="1020"
      bbt_l_y1="1500" bbt_y1="1150" bbt_x2="1590" bbt_y2="1105">
    </candidate>
  </race>
  <race ID="race002" Race="Global Warming" VoteFor="1"
    bb_x1="350" bb_y1="1110" bb_x2="800" bb_y2="1165">
    <candidate ID="cand001" Candidate="Very Important"
      bb_l_y1="830" bb_l_y2="890" bb_l_x2="1060" bbl_y1="1020"
      bbt_l_y1="900" bbl_y2="1050" bbt_l_x2="990" bbt_y2="1105">
    </candidate>
    <candidate ID="cand002" Candidate="Somewhat Important"
      bb_l_y1="1130" bb_l_y2="890" bb_l_x2="1360" bbl_y1="1020"
      bbt_l_y1="1200" bbt_y1="1150" bbt_x2="1290" bbt_y2="1105">
    </candidate>
    <candidate ID="cand003" Candidate="Not Too Important"
      bb_l_y1="1430" bb_l_y2="890" bb_l_x2="1660" bbl_y1="1020"
      bbt_l_y1="1500" bbt_y1="1150" bbt_x2="1590" bbt_y2="1105">
    </candidate>
  </race>
</election>
BallotTool GUI for blind auditing
BallotTool GUI for HCD
BallotGen software

Blank Ballot
Mark Library
Election Specification

BallotGen Software

Synthetic Ballot Collection (PDF, TIF)

Print / Scan

Machine interpretation
Human interpretation
Synthesizing ballots

Two paradigms for injecting marks on blank ballot substrate:

- Extract and place pre-marked targets on image.
- Transform and overlay marks with transparent backgrounds.

In latter case, we can:

- Adjust x- and y-displacement from target center.
- Scale x- and y-dimensions independently.
- Rotate mark by a random amount.
- Re-map grayscale or color of mark.
Pre-marked targets

- The War in Iraq
- Global Warming

- Very Important
- Somewhat Important

- The War in Iraq
- Global Warming

- Very Important
- Somewhat Important

- The War in Iraq
- Global Warming

- Very Important
- Somewhat Important

- The War in Iraq
- Global Warming

- Very Important
- Somewhat Important

- The War in Iraq
- Global Warming

- Very Important
- Somewhat Important

- The War in Iraq
- Global Warming

- Very Important
- Somewhat Important
Synthesizing ballots

Simulated Lehigh-Muhlenberg 2008 Presidential Election survey. Synthesized using marks that are randomly chosen and placed (some intentionally “marginal”).
Transformed and overlayed marks
Synthesizing ballot collections

Step 1: select blank ballot and mark style
Step 2: define marks (rates for mark prototypes, mark transforms, etc.)
Step 3: define races (rates for various winners)
Step 4: define election (# of ballots, undervote and overvote rates, etc.)
Summary

Status:

- Prototype nearly complete – blind auditing and HCD experiments will commence soon in collaboration with social scientist colleagues. Results to be presented in future papers.

Conclusions:

- Paper ballot processing provides an opportunity to apply document analysis research to a timely and important problem.
- Upon reflection, a number of other ideas will come to mind. E.g., style-based recognition for interpreting marginal markings.
Whole-ballot recognition

⇒ Capture voter intent via style-based techniques.

BASEBALL HALL OF FAME (vote for no more than 5)
- Ty Cobb
- Rogers Hornsby
- Walter Johnson
- Nap Lajoie
- Christy Mathewson
- Babe Ruth
- Tris Speaker
- Honus Wagner
- Cy Young

Stray mark?

Valid vote?

BASEBALL HALL OF FAME (vote for no more than 5)
- Ty Cobb
- Rogers Hornsby
- Walter Johnson
- Nap Lajoie
- Christy Mathewson
- Babe Ruth
- Tris Speaker
- Honus Wagner
- Cy Young
Paper and Electronic Records for Elections: Cultivating Trust

Thank you!
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